

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

**DATE MAILED: 09/17/2004** 

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/018,713	12/12/2001	Leonard Reiffel	TEMP2US	4928
7	590 09/17/2004		EXAM	INER
William J. Hallihan			WINAKUR, ERIC FRANK	
HALLIHAN Ir	itellectual Property, Ltd.			
125 South Wacker Drive			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Suite 2080			3736	
Chicago, IL 60606			DATE MAIL ED. 00/13/200	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/018,713	REIFFEL, LEONARD			
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Eric F Winakur	3736			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 16 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.					
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the control of t	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CFI fextension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply of the shortened statutory period for the shor	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or			
2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office imely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	FR 1.704(b).				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be</li> </ol>	ecause:				
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) Methey present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s): See Continuation Sheet.				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) 3,4,6,8,9,11-14 and 16-19 would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:					
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		•			
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to: 3,4,6,8,9,12-14,16,18 and 19.					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,5,7,10,11,15,17 and 20</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appl	roved or b) disapproved by the	he Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·			
10. ☐ Other:					
		53.			
		Eric F Winakur Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3736			

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amendment to claim 20 (final two lines) introduces limitations that were not previously required or considered. A device configured to make possible the determination of fluid position outside of a subject "without requiring any portion of the implant to protrude from a surface of the subject" (as previously recited) is quite different from one that makes possible determination of fluid position "without the use of a communication link within the implant" (as amended). The amendment, as Applicant argues in the Remarks, further requires consideration of the scope and meaning of the phrases "communication link" and "antenna". Also, newly presented claim 29 improperly depends from itself

Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Rejection of claim 20 under 112, second paragraph; prior art rejections of claims 1, 5, 7, 10, 11, 15, and 17 (by cancellation or amendment to incorporate allowable subject matter); double patenting rejection of claims 1 and 2 (by cancellation).